

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                         | FILING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/617,894                              | 07/11/2003       | Phillip J. Bouic     | 58670US004          | 4040             |  |
| 32692                                   | 7590 03/28/2006  |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
|   | ATIVE PROPERTIES | VO,                  | VO, HAI             |                  |  |
| PO BOX 33427<br>ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427 |                  |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| ,                                       |                  |                      | 1771                |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/617,894      | BOUIC ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |  |
| Hai Vo          | 1771         |  |  |

| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |                                      |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|  | Hai Vo   | 1771  |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add   | ress                                 |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 13 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP   | •  |   | ·                                    |  |  |  |  |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:   |  |   |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee are been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ander 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed,   |  |   |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)  | <b>).</b>  |   |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th                                     | ns of the date of<br>e appeal. Since |  |  |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS   | but prior to the date of filing a brief  | will not be entered b                                     | 0001160                              |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  | onsideration and/or search (see NO   | , will <u>not</u> be entered b<br>TE below):              | ecause                               |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul>  |  |   |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  |  | ected claims.   |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1   |  | mpliant Amendment   | (PTOL-324).                          |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)   |  |   | ` .                                  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  | llowable if submitted in a separate,   | ·   |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  |  | ll be entered and an o                                    | explanation of                       |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  | •  |   |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>23-26,44 and 65</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-22,27-43 and 4</u> AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   | <u>25-64</u> .   |   |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | ut before or on the date of filing a N<br>id sufficient reasons why the affida   | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u><br>vit or other evidence i | ot be entered<br>s necessary and     |  |  |  |  |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>ry and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa<br>see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(            | ils to provide a<br>1).              |  |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr |  |   |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| 11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.   |  |   | nce because:                         |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).   | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N  | No(s)   |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| 13.  |  |   |                                      |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |                                      |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |                                      |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the following reasons. Claim 1 is directed to a non-elected claim and will not be included in the scope of consideration for examination. The proposed claim amendments would be entered if they are incorporated into claim 23. The art rejections based on Bambara, WO 99/52646 and Francis would be withdrawn in view of the proposed amendments. However, the art rejections over other cited references are maintained for the following reasons. The recitation "a surface pattern provided in an area that has been formed to a desired shape under pressure with a partially compressed area, and a second partially compressed area, compressed more or less than the first area" suggests that a surface pattern has a first portion with a first thickness and a second portion with a second thickness wherein the first thickness is greater or less than the second thickness. The proposed amendments do not exclude the masking materials of WO 99/46056 wherein the strip 8 has a thickness greater than a thickness of the strip 10 as shown in figure 2A. Similarly, figure 4, and column 7, lines 40-45 of Bouic (US 6,797,361), figure 1 of EP 795 356 read on the claimed subject matter.

Hai Vo

HAIVO PRIMARY EXAMINER